

## OBIA for Combining LiDAR and multispectral data to ChARACTERIZE forested AREAS AND land cover in TROPICAL REGION

S. Dupuy<sup>a,\*</sup>, G. Lainé<sup>a</sup>, T. Tormos<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CIRAD, UMR TETIS, Earth Observation and Geo-information for Environment and Land Management, Montpellier, F-34398 France - stephane.dupuy@cirad.fr

<sup>b</sup> IRSTEA, UR MALY, Pole ONEMA/IRSTEA freshwater hydroecology, Lyon, F-69336, France - thierry.tormos@onema.fr

**KEY WORDS:** LiDAR, Multispectral data, DCM, Canopy horizontal heterogeneity, Tropical forest, Mayotte

### ABSTRACT:

Prioritizing and designing forest restoration strategies requires an adequate survey to inform on the status (degraded or not) of forest types and the human disturbances over a territory. Very High Spatial Resolution (VHSR) remotely sensed data offers valuable information for performing such survey. We present in this study an OBIA methodology for mapping forest types at risk and land cover in a tropical context (Mayotte Island) combining LiDAR data (1 m pixel), VHSR multispectral images (Spot 5 XS 10 m pixel and orthophotos 0.5 m pixel) and ancillary data (existing thematic information). A Digital Canopy Model (DCM) was derived from LiDAR data and additional information was built from the DCM in order to better take into account the horizontal variability of canopy height: max and high Pass filters (3m x 3m kernel size) and Haralick variance texture image (51m x 51m kernel size). OBIA emerges as a suitable framework for exploiting multisource information during segmentation as well as during the classification process. A precise map (84% total accuracy) was obtained informing on (i) surfaces of forest types (defined according to their structure, i.e. canopy height of forest patches for specific type); (ii) degradation (identified in the heterogeneity of canopy height and presence of eroded areas) and (iii) human disturbances. Improvements can be made when discriminating forest types according to their composition (deciduous, evergreen or mixed), in particular by exploiting a more radiometrically homogenous VHSR multispectral image.

### 1. introduction

Sustainable forest management requires fine-scale knowledge of their floristic composition and structure. Especially for tropical landscapes, which contain a high spatial heterogeneity and are often poorly accessible, it is highly recommended to make use of remotely sensed data to benefit from exhaustive mapping information (Chambers *et al.*, 2007, Leckie, 1990). Most of mapping projects have thus been achieved using photo-interpretation of aerial photographs but this classification method is neither easily implemented nor reproducible on large territories (St-Onge *et al.*, 2007). Other studies were attempted for performing classification of forest composition and structure using semi-automatic methods (per pixel or per objects) on Very High Spatial Resolution (VHSR) multispectral information (Asner *et al.*, 2003), but deriving the composition and especially the structure based only on spectral information is quite challenging (Vepakomma *et al.*, 2008). That is why some works take advantage of vegetation height information from LiDAR data in complement to multispectral information (Ke *et al.*, 2010, Rottensteiner *et al.*, 2005, Straatsma *et al.*, 2008). Vegetation height can inform on canopy gaps which is often a good indicator of forest canopy heterogeneity that can be linked to forest stands or degradation status of these stands (Vincent *et al.*, 2010). However, most of

the works use both sources in parallel, using one type at a time, without benefiting of the potential gain when combining both of them in the same classification (Bork *et al.*, 2007). We propose in this paper an OBIA methodology designed for combining LiDAR and multispectral data in order to produce a land cover map dedicated for characterizing forest areas at risk in a tropical landscape context.

### 2. data and method

#### 2.1 Study area and classification scheme

The methodology was designed and implemented in Mayotte. Mayotte is an island of the Comoro Archipelago located at the entrance of the Mozambique Channel (Figure 1). The remaining areas of native forests of Mayotte are found primarily over the five forest reserves (5550 ha = 15% of the territory). However, forest complexes are more or less degraded, inside and outside reserves, because of direct (agroforestry) and indirect (proliferation of an invasive species of liana) human disturbances. As a result, a precise survey dedicated to the status (degraded, potentially degraded and not degraded) of forest types inside and outside reserves is a key step to prioritize and define efficiently forest restoration strategies.

---

\* Corresponding author.



Figure 1: Location of Mayotte Island (375 km<sup>2</sup>)

Field observations and measurements, conducted with scientists specialized on tropical forests, led to distinguish (excluding mangrove forest and tree plantation) four major forest types (see Table 1) according to: (i) the structure, i.e. the average height of the canopy (*hc*); and (ii) composition, dominance of deciduous or evergreen trees (Lainé *et al.*, 2010). As (Parker *et al.*, 2004), we also noted that most forest types with a high heterogeneity of canopy height often correspond to degraded forested areas. The collapse of lianas and practices in

agro-forestry (clearing, burning...) leads to gaps in canopy. Based on this field information a specific classification scheme was designed for performing this survey (see Table 2). Such a classification scheme will allow managers to have a reliable spatial knowledge concerning (i) surfaces of different types of forest areas; (ii) the localization of degraded (eroded areas) or potentially degraded forested areas (according to the heterogeneity of the canopy) and (iii) human pressures (other land cover categories).

Structure (canopy height)	Composition (majority deciduous or evergreen)	Forest types
between 5 m and 10 m (FT1)	Deciduous (D)	FT1-D
	Evergreen (E)	FT1-E
• 10 m (FT2)	Deciduous (D)	FT2-D
	Evergreen (E)	FT2-E

Table 1. Definition of major forest types observed in Mayotte according to the structure and composition

Level 1	Level 2	Code	Data source used in classification
Forested areas	<b>FT1-D not degraded</b> : FT1 with low horizontal heterogeneity	FT1-D-nd	A+B
	<b>FT1-D potentially degraded</b> : FT1 with high horizontal heterogeneity	FT1-D-pd	A+B
	<b>FT1-E not degraded</b> : FT2 with low horizontal heterogeneity	FT1-E-nd	A
	<b>FT1-E potentially degraded</b> : FT2 with high horizontal heterogeneity	FT1-E-pd	A
	<b>FT2-D not degraded</b> : FT3 with low horizontal heterogeneity	FT2-D-nd	A+B
	<b>FT2-D potentially degraded</b> : FT3 with high horizontal heterogeneity	FT2-D-pd	A+B
	<b>FT2-E not degraded</b> : FT4 with low horizontal heterogeneity	FT2-E-nd	A
	<b>FT2-E potentially degraded</b> : FT4 with high horizontal heterogeneity	FT2-E-pd	A
	<b>Forest plantation</b> : plantation of <i>acacia mangium</i> , <i>Adenanthera pavonina</i> , <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> , <i>Samanea saman</i> , <i>Terminalia catappa</i> , ou <i>T. superba</i>	FP	C
	<b>Mangrove</b>	Mg	C
Eroded areas	<b>Collapsed forest area</b> : collapse due to the high presence of lianas those results in a huge gap in the canopy.	Lia	C
	<b>Bare soil on eroded area</b> : badlands subject to active erosion	Ero1	B + C
	<b>Herbaceous on eroded area</b> : grasses often crossed by the fire causing an erosion	Ero2	B + C
Urban areas	<b>Fern on eroded area</b> : proliferation of <i>dicranopteris linearis</i> , which affects the leached soil making them unfit for the colonization by ligneous species	Ero3	B + C
	<b>Built up area</b>	Urb1	C
	<b>Main road</b>	Roads	C
	<b>Other artificial surface</b> : green urban areas, sport and leisure facilities...	Urb2	C
Other land cover categories	<b>Mine, dump and construction site</b>	Urb3	C
	<b>Bare saline soil</b> : bare soil in Mangrove forest called "tanne"	tanne	C
	<b>Shrub cover</b> : natural or agricultural vegetation with canopy height between 1.5 m and 5 m	Sh	A

<b>Low vegetation</b> : natural or agricultural vegetation height < 1.5 m (agriculture or natural area)	Lv	A + B
<b>bare soil</b> : natural or agricultural soil without vegetation	Bs	A + B
<b>Beach, dune, sand or bare rock</b>	Beache	C
<b>Inland and marine water</b>	Water	A + B

Table2. Classification scheme (A = LiDAR derived data; B = multispectral data; C = thematic data, see Table 1 for forest types)

Dataset	Spatial resolution	Information	Producer	Acquisition date
<b>LiDAR</b>	1 m	vertical information	IGN	October 2008
<b>Orthophotos</b>	0.5 m	Spectral information in B, G, R, NIR	IGN	November 2008
<b>Spot 5 XS</b>	10 m	Spectral information in G, R, NIR, SWIR	Spot Image	June 2005
<b>Thematic vector data</b>	Metric precision	forest plantation, mangrove, eroded area, collapsed forest stands with liana, built up area, main road, other artificial surfaces, mine dump and construction site	Our team by photo-interpretation of the 3 different remotely sensed data and use of regional topographic map	Between 2007 and 2008

Table 3. Dataset characteristics (DTM = digital terrain model; DSM = digital surface model; B = blue; G = green; R = red; NIR = near infra red; SWIR = short wave infra red; IGN = French Geographic Institute)

## 2.2 Dataset and pre-processing

Characteristics of remotely sensed data employed in this study are summarized in Table 3.

LiDAR data over the study area come from the Litto3D project (Saur *et al.*, 2008) dedicated to produce a precise topographic and bathymetric model of the entire French coast (including Mayotte). A Digital Surface model (DSM) and a Digital Terrain Model (DTM) built with a spatial resolution of 1m x 1m was produced by IGN (French Geographic Institute) using an adaptive triangulated irregular network algorithm (Axelsson, 2000, Véga *et al.*, 2010) from the software TerraScan (Terrasolid Ltd, Finland). We produced the Digital Height Model (DHM) from the difference between DSM and DTM (Naesset, 1997). We applied a 3m x 3m median filter on DHM for deriving the digital canopy model (DCM, see Figure 3) (Popescu *et al.*, 2002). In order to better take into account the spatial variation of the canopy height, we performed (i) two filtered images derived from the DCM using 3m x 3m kernel: Max and High Pass filters; and (ii) Haralick variance texture image (Haralick *et al.*, 1973) computed for all direction using a 51 m x 51 m kernel size.

Two types of multispectral images (see Figure 2) were acquired on the study zone: orthophotos (0.5 m pixels) required for precisely delimiting small objects, and Spot 5 XS satellite images (10 m pixel) for better discriminating vegetation covers using both short wave and near infra red information (Muller, 1997). Orthophotos are orthorectified airborne images provided by IGN from the BD Ortho@ (Paparoditis *et al.*, 2006). 803 orthophotos (1km\*1km each) were acquired in November 2008 for covering the whole of island. One Spot 5 XS satellite image was acquired for covering the study zone in June 2005. It was not acquired at the same period of the other remotely sensed data because no satellite images were available among unclouded archive images. The satellite image was ortho-rectified based on

orthophotos using the LPS module of Erdas Imagine software. All multispectral images were re-sampled to the same resolution of the DCM using the cubic convolution interpolation algorithm.

In a previous study, conducted by our team with the administrative services of Mayotte, a vector map informing on forest plantation, mangrove, eroded area, collapsed forest stand (linked to lianas), built up area, main road, mine, dump and construction site, other artificial surface, beaches and bare saline soil - was produced with a metric precision from both photo-interpretation of remotely-sensed data presented in this study and available regional topographic data. This map was used as ancillary data in addition to remotely sensed data.

## 2.3 OBIA procedure

We propose an object-based procedure in three successive segmentation/classification levels implemented with the eCognition Developer 8 software using the multi-resolution segmentation algorithm (Baatz, 2000, Benz, 2004, Trimble, 2011) and membership functions classification method. The workflow of this procedure is presented in Figure 2. Segmentation parameters at each level are summarized in Table 4.

### 2.3.1 Level 1: Thematic classification

The first segmentation/classification step consists in masking and classifying the studied zone according to existing thematic data. Segmentation was based only on thematic vector data. The studied zone is thus segmented according to the limits of thematic data entities. Then, the resulting image objects are assigned – or not – to a thematic class using Boolean rules. Segmentation parameters at this level are presented in Table 4. The major aim of the following segmentation/classification levels is to classify non-thematic objects that may be water, bare soil, low vegetation or the different forested areas classes

(see Table 2).

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
scale	200	40	35
parameter			
shape	0.9	0.7	0.1
compactness	0.5	0.4	0.5
spectral bands (weight)	not used	MNC (1) High pass filter (1) maxfilter (1)	Spot 5 (1) aerial photography (2)
Thematic vector data	used	not used	not used

Table 4: Segmentation, parameters for each OBIA level

### 2.3.2 Level 2: Height classification

The second segmentation/classification step consists in classifying cover heights on non-thematic objects of level 1.

Within the boundaries of the first segmentation level, a second segmentation level was based on the DCM and the Max and High Pass filters. Parameters for segmentation (see Table 4) were empirically determined by visual interpretation especially focused on forest areas objects (see Figure 4). We obtained a quite good delineation between (i) the two forest stands observed in the field (forest area with low height canopy and high height canopy); and (ii) forest areas, shrub cover and low vegetation. The resulting image objects are first assigned according to 4 height classes ( $\bullet$  1.5 m; ]1.5 – 5m]; ]5 – 10m];  $\bullet$  10 m) using Boolean rules based on DCM values. The two upper height classes correspond to forested areas. Each of them has been separated into two classes (high and low heterogeneity) according to the horizontal canopy heterogeneity as defined by the Haralick variance texture image derived from the DCM. Threshold between low and high heterogeneity was defined by expert visual interpretation. Finally, a merging of neighbouring objects of the same class is performed.




Figure 2: Workflow of OBIA procedure combining Lidar, multi-spectral and thematic data

### 2.3.3 Level 3: Land cover classification

The third segmentation/classification level aim is two-fold: (i) to classify the different forested types objects discriminated at level 2 according to their composition (deciduous or evergreen); and (ii) to classify the two lowest height class objects discriminated at level 2 and the eroded area objects extracted at level 1 following the land cover categories defined in Table 1. Only one segmentation level, based on limits built in level 2 and multispectral information, was required to satisfy this double aim. Parameters for segmentation (see Table 4) were empirically determined by visual interpretation. The resulting image objects were classified from multi-spectral information. For example, the “ $\bullet$  1.5 m height” class was divided into three classes: “inland and marine water”

characterized by low mean values in near-infrared information from Spot 5 XS image; “bare soil” defined by low mean values in green information from orthophotos; and “low vegetation” that is not “inland and marine water” and “bare soil”.

## 2.4 Map validation

Map validation was performed using a confusion matrix (Giles M, 2002). Our first aim was to assess the accuracy of all categories obtained with at least one source of remotely sensed data (see Table 1). However, as composition classes (deciduous or evergreen) were difficult to obtain over the whole island (see 3.1 for reasons), forested areas with the same structure and heterogeneity were regrouped before computing the matrix. Therefore, we got at least information about the

accuracy of forest types as defined by the heterogeneity of their structure and status.

Objects have been chosen as sampling units for control data and the confusion matrix is computed according to the object number (and not in pixels). Control data were derived from field measurements carried out in August and October 2009 and January 2010. Field measurements were acquired in accordance with a simple ecological stratification into 12 units (4 classes of temperature and 3 classes of precipitation). In total, 517 control data were selected: 188 for forested types, 130 for low vegetation, 96 for shrub cover, 93 for eroded areas and 10 for inland and marine water class. Usual statistics were extracted from the confusion matrix.

### 3. Result and discussion

#### 3.1 Map accuracy

A subset of the resulting map is proposed Figure 3. According to the confusion matrix, 84% of the control objects were correctly classified. This global accuracy is confirmed by the kappa index value of 80, which means that 80% of the objects would appear well classified according to any completely random validation process. Highly accurate results (•80%) were obtained for forest types (defined by the structure and heterogeneity), for shrub and low vegetation and fern eroded area classes. The poorest accuracy was for bare soil on eroded area (69%) and herbaceous on eroded area (58%) classes because of (i) confusion between these two classes due to their similar spectral behavior; and (ii) radiometric heterogeneity of data source used for the discrimination of these classes (orthophotos).

The radiometric heterogeneity, between the numerous orthophotos covering the whole island, was also the major difficulty in this study when characterizing forest types according to their composition (evergreen and deciduous), in addition to the high presence of shadow on orthophotos (located especially on relief zone). Although the Spot 5 satellite image comprises fewer shadows and is more homogenous in radiometry, it was not efficient because its spatial resolution is not sufficient for this discrimination. It would be interesting to acquire other satellite data (a more radiometrically homogenous image) with higher spatial resolution (QuickBird 0.5 m pixels or Pleiades 0.5 m pixels for example) at suitable acquisition period (October) for enhancing discrimination between evergreen and deciduous forest types.




Figure 3: Subset of images data and natural areas map (Hm = mean of Height and HH = Horizontal Heterogeneity)

#### 3.2 Combining Lidar and Multispectral data in OBIA

This study demonstrates that OBIA is a suitable framework for combining multisource information, as observed by other studies (e.g., Durieux *et al.*, 2008, Tormos *et al.*, 2012). In this study, multisource information (especially LiDAR and Multispectral data) was combined in the delineation of the objects of interest (thanks to the image object hierarchy property of the multi-resolution segmentation algorithm) as well as in the classification process.

In the delineation of the objects of interest, LiDAR combined with multispectral data was necessary in order to correctly delineate the different degraded or non degraded forest types. LiDAR data for identifying forest types according to their structure (canopy height) and canopy degradation level (horizontal heterogeneity of canopy height) and multispectral data based on these limits for delineating objects according to the composition (see Figure 4).




Figure 4. Illustration of the complementarities of LiDAR and Multispectral data for delineating forest types according to their structure and composition.

#### 4. Conclusion

Through this study it can be argued that OBIA is a suitable framework for exploiting multisource information, during segmentation as well as during the classification process. It allows a better mapping performance than parallel use of multisource data. LiDAR data was found particularly favourable for characterizing forest types in a tropical context, due to the information it provides on canopy height and their heterogeneity. Improvements must be made in the discrimination of forest types according to their composition (deciduous, evergreen or mixed), in particular by exploiting a more radiometrically homogenous very high spatial multispectral image (such as Pleiades or Quickbird images) acquired at a suitable period. The high radiometric variability, between the numerous orthophotos acquired for covering the whole island, was the great drawback for obtaining a reliable map of forest types that also include their composition. With these improvements, such mapping will allow managers to prioritize and define forest restoration strategies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study was funded by the European Fund for Development. We thank our colleagues Jacques Tassin and Jean-Michel Sarrailh (CIRAD UPR BSEF) for their collaboration in this study. We also wish to thank the people of the "Direction de l'Agriculture de l'Alimentation et de la Forêt" and the "Conseil Général" of Mayotte, that allowed us to carry out this project.

#### REFERENCES

Asner, G.P. et Warner, A.S., 2003. Canopy shadow in

IKONOS satellite observations of tropical forests and savannas. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 87(4), pp. 521-533.

Axelsson, P., 2000. DEM generation from laser scanner data using adaptive TIN models. *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 33(B4/1; PART 4), pp. 111-118.

Baatz, M., Schäpe, A., 2000. Multiresolution Segmentation: an optimization approach for high quality multi-scale image segmentation. *Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 58(3-4), pp. 12-23.

Benz, U.C., Hofmann, P., Willhauck, G., Lingenfelder, I., Heynen, M., 2004. Multi-resolution, object-oriented fuzzy analysis of remote sensing data for GIS-ready information. *Isprs Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 58(3-4), pp. 239-258.

Bork, E.W. et Su, J.G., 2007. Integrating LIDAR data and multispectral imagery for enhanced classification of rangeland vegetation: A meta analysis. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 111(1), pp. 11-24.

Chambers, J.Q., et al., 2007. Regional ecosystem structure and function: ecological insights from remote sensing of tropical forests. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 22(8), pp. 414-423.

Durieux, L., et al., 2008. A method for monitoring building construction in urban sprawl areas using object-based analysis of Spot 5 images and existing GIS data. *Isprs Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 63(4), pp. 399-408.

Giles M, F., 2002. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 80(1), pp. 185-201.

Haralick, R.M., et al., 1973. Textural Features for Image Classification. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 3(6), pp. 610-621.

Ke, Y., et al., 2010. Synergistic use of QuickBird multispectral imagery and LIDAR data for object-based forest species classification. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(6), pp. 1141-1154.

Lainé, G., et al., 2010 Etude de la Typologie Forestière de Mayotte, *Final Report*, Agrifor Consult - Cirad, Montpellier, France, pp. 220

Leckie, D.G., 1990. Advances in remote-sensing technologies for forest surveys and management. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, 20(4), pp. 464-483.

Muller, E., 1997. Mapping riparian vegetation along rivers: old concepts and new methods. *Aquatic Botany*, 58(3-4), pp. 411-437.

Naesset, E., 1997. Determination of mean tree height of forest stands using airborne laser scanner data. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 52(2), pp. 49-56.

- Paparoditis, N., *et al.*, 2006. High-end aerial digital cameras and their impact on the automation and quality of the production workflow. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 60(6), pp. 400-412.
- Parker, G.G. et Russ, M.E., 2004. The canopy surface and stand development: assessing forest canopy structure and complexity with near-surface altimetry. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 189(1-3), pp. 307-315.
- Popescu, S.C., *et al.*, 2002. Estimating plot-level tree heights with lidar: local filtering with a canopy-height based variable window size. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 37(1-3), pp. 71-95.
- Rottensteiner, F., *et al.*, 2005. Using the Dempster-Shafer method for the fusion of LIDAR data and multi-spectral images for building detection. *Information Fusion*, 6(4), pp. 283-300.
- Saur, S., *et al.*, 2008 Litto3D® V1.0 Spécifications techniques, Institut Géographique National, pp. 14
- St-Onge, B., *et al.*, 2007. Mapping canopy height using a combination of digital photogrammetry and airborne scanning laser altimetry. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 29(11), pp. 3343-3364.
- Straatsma, M.W. et Baptist, M., 2008. Floodplain roughness parameterization using airborne laser scanning and spectral remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(3), pp. 1062-1080.
- Tormos, T., *et al.*, 2012. Object-based image analysis for operational fine-scale regional mapping of land cover within river corridors from multispectral imagery and thematic data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 33(14), pp. 4603-4633.
- Trimble, 2011. eCognition® Developer 8.64.1 User Guide. *Trimble Germany GmbH, Munich, Germany*, pp.
- Véga, C. et Durrieu, S., 2010. Extraction de paramètres d'arbre à partir de modèles numériques de canopée lidar. *Revue française de photogrammétrie et de télédétection*, 191, pp. 62-71.
- Vepakomma, U., *et al.*, 2008. Spatially explicit characterization of boreal forest gap dynamics using multi-temporal lidar data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(5), pp. 2326-2340.
- Vincent, G., *et al.*, 2010. Détection des variations de structure de peuplements en forêt dense tropicale humide par Lidar aéroporté (Small foot-print airborne LiDAR proves highly sensitive to changes in structure of moist tropical forest). *Revue Française de Photogrammétrie et Télédétection*, 191(pp. 42-50).