ON-ORBIT SPATIAL RESOLUTION ESTIMATION OF CBERS-1 CCD IMAGING SYSTEM USING HIGHER RESOLUTION IMAGES

K. Bensebaa, G. J. F. Banon, L. M. G. Fonseca

Image Processing Division - National Institute for Space Research (INPE)
Av. dos Astronautas, 1758, 12201-027 São José dos Campos, Brazil
{camel, banon, Leila}@dpi.inpe.b*r*

KEY WORDS: CBERS-1 Satellite, SPOT-4 Satellite**,** CCD Camera, Spatial Resolution, Point Spread Function, Estimation, Modelling, Simulation, Measurements.

ABSTRACT:

The first China-Brazil Earth Resources Satellite (CBERS-1) was launched in 1999 and in 2003 was substituted by CBERS-2. CBERS-1 and CBERS-2 have the same specifications and carry three sensors which combine features that are specially designed to cover the broad range of space and time scales involved in the monitoring and preservation of the ecosystem: Wide Field Imager (WFI), High Resolution CCD Camera (CCD) and Infrared Multispectral Scanner (IR-MSS). The performance of these sensors can be evaluated through PSF measurement that enables an objective assessment of the spatial resolution. This paper describes an approach to estimate the spatial resolution of the CBERS-1 CCD camera using two images: an image with smaller resolution captured by CBERS-1 satellite and an image of the same scene of higher resolution captured by SPOT-4 satellite The method is iterative and the goal is to find the low-pass band filter parameter that minimizes the Root Mean Square (RMS) difference between the CBERS-1 image and the filtered SPOT-4 one. This technique is applied to spatial resolution estimation in across-track direction using image of Lake Pontchartrain Causeway in Louisiana (United States), and, in along-track direction using image of Rio-Niteroi Bridge in Rio de Janeiro (Brazil).

1. INTRODUCTION

In the two last decades China and Brazil have jointly developed the cooperative program the CBERS satellite (China-Brazil Earth Resources Satellite). CBERS-1 and CBERS-2 satellites were launched on October 14, 1999 and October 21, 2003, respectively by the Chinese launcher Long-March 4B, from the Tayuan Launch Center, in the Popular Republic of China. The main objective of CBERS mission is to obtain global, regional, and local images of the Earth in 4 different wavelengths of the electromagnetic spectrum (spectral bands), ranging from visible to infrared light.

The CBERS-1 payload consists of three instruments called: CCD (Charge Coupled Device) camera, IRMSS (Infrared MSS) and WFI (Wide Field Imager), which can make optical observation global area and transmit remotely sensed data to ground receiving stations.

During acquisition process, the imaging systems cause image degradation due to the cumulative effects of the instrumental optics (diffraction, aberrations, focusing error) and image motion induced by the satellite movement (Leger et al. 2002). Typically, the degradation can be modeled by linear system characterized by its Point Spread Function (PSF) or by its Modulation Transfer Function (MTF) in the frequency domain.

According to the literature, the PSF can be approximated by Gaussian function (Luxen and Forster, 2002). Hence, in this work the PSF is modeled as two one-dimensional Gaussian functions: one relative to the along-track direction and the second one to the across-track direction.

The point spread function (PSF) of a given image acquisition system measure how the optical system spreads the image of a point, and it enables an objective assessment of the imaging system spatial resolution parameter. In remote sensing, the spatial resolution parameter is known as EIFOV and enables a comparison between different sensors. When the PSF

is approximated by a Gaussian function with standard deviation σ, the EIFOV is 2.66σ (Slater, 1980; Banon and Santos, 1993).

In this work, our goal is to estimate the spatial resolution using images from different sensors. SPOT-4 images have been chosen a reference because they have slightly better resolution than the CBERS-1 images (Bensebaa, et al, 2003). Also, they have the same sampling rate and their EIFOV are known.

This article describes an approach to estimate the spatial resolution of the CBERS-1 CCD camera using two images: an image with smaller resolution captured by CBERS-1 satellite and an image of the same scene of higher resolution captured by SPOT-4. The method is iterative and the goal is to find the low-pass band filter parameter that minimizes the Root Mean Square (RMS) difference between the CBERS-1 image and the filtered SPOT-4 one This technique is applied to spatial resolution estimation in across-track direction using image of Lake Pontchartrain Causeway in Louisiana (United States), and, in along-track direction using image of Rio-Niteroi Bridge in Rio de Janeiro (Brazil).

2. CBERS-1 OVERVIEW

CBERS-1 satellite carries on-board a multisensor payload with different spatial resolution called: WFI (Wide Field Imager), IRMSS (Infrared MSS) and CCD (Charge Coupled Device) camera. The high-resolution CCD Camera has 4 spectral bands from visible light to near infrared and one panchromatic band (Table. 1). It acquires the earth ground scenes by pushbroom scanning, on 778 km sun-synchronous orbit and provides images of 113 km wide strips with sampling rate of 20 meters at nadir.

Spectral Bands	Number	Wavelength (µm)
Blue	B1	0,45 - 0,52
Green	B2	0,52 - 0,59
Red	B3	0,63 - 0,69
Near-Infrared	B4	0,77 - 0,89
Pan.	B5	0,51 - 0,73

Table 1. Spectral bands of the CCD sensor.

The signal acquisition system operates in two channels called CCD1 and CCD2. The first one generates images corresponding to B2, B3 and B4 while the second generates images corresponding to the bands B1, B3 and B5. In each channel (channel C1 and channel C2), three CCD chips per band were combined to generate about 6000 pixels per row.

3. PSF ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY

PSF estimation is a general tool for assessment imaging system. Basically, there exist three ways to determinate the PSF. The first one uses images targets that must have well-defined shape and size as airport runway, bridges, etc or artificial target. The second method utilizes images acquired by higher resolution sensor, which are low-pass filtered compared with the image under study (Banon and Fonseca, 1998). Finally, the third one uses the system design specifications and the system analytic model (Fonseca, 1987; Fonseca and Mascarenhas, 1987). The first two approaches have the advantage of estimating the imaging system PSF by using in-flight images acquired by the system. Previous works dealing with this problem have obtained satisfactory results using the first approach. Storey (2001) has used this methodology to measure the Landsat-TM on-orbit spatial response using ground target such as bridges. Choi and Helder (2001) have used as targets airport runway and a tarp placed on the ground for on-orbit MTF measurement of IKONOS satellite sensor. Bensebaa, et al. (2003) have used bridges images to estimate the spatial resolution of CBERS-1 imaging system. The spatial resolution estimation used in this work is based on the second approach.

3.1 Target Selection

The image selection was made based on a separability properties. In order to make profit of this simplicity of working with 1D data, we chose images of along-track and across-track bridges, which are typically 1D, target.

The Rio-Niteroi Bridge over Guanabara Bay (Figure 1 and Figure 3) was chosen as 1D target to estimate the spatial resolution in the along-track direction. This bridge is 13.29-km long with only one deck and its width is 26.6 meters. On the other side, the Causeway Bridge over the Lake Pontchartrain (Figure 2 and Figure 4) was used as 1D target to estimate the spatial resolution in across-track direction. The bridge is constituted of two decks and a gap between them. The target is a 38.62-km long double deck bridge where each deck is 10.0 meters width and the gap is 24.4 meters width. The two decks of the bridge were constructed at different times (1956 and 1969) and exhibit slightly different reflectance. In addition, the water background is reasonably uniform.

3.2 Data Preparation

The Rio-Niteroi Bridge and Lake Pontchartrain Causeway Bridge images were acquired by CBERS-1 CCD sensor on December 02, 2001 and October 06, 2002, respectively. The same scenes were acquired by SPOT-4 CCD on November 28, 2001 for Rio-Niteroi Bridge and on November 25, 2002 for Lake Pontchartrain Causeway Bridge.
The CBERS-1 raw data images present a striping effect. Odd columns are brighter than even columns. This is due to the non-uniform detector gains, since each detector is responsible for one column in the images. The processing procedure to remove the stripping effect has been described in (Bensebaa, et al. 2003). Figure 5 and Figure 7 shows destriped images of Band2 captured by CBERS-1 satellite CCD while Figure 6 and Figure 8 shows images of Band2 captured by SPOT-4 satellite CCD.

3.3 Image Registration

As the scenes images of Rio-Niteroi bridge and Causeway bridge were captured by different sensors, we have applied the registration algorithm developed by (Fedorov, et al, 2002). The SPOT-4 images were used as reference. Figures 9 and 10 shows the results of this processing.

Fig.1 Map of the Rio-Niteroi bridge in
the Guanabara bay.

Fig.2 Map of the Causeway bridge over
the Pontchartrain lake.

Fig.3 Aerial image of the Rio-Niteroi bridge in
the Guanabara bay.

Fig.4 Aerial image of the Causeway bridge over
the Pontchartrain lake.

Fig.5 Band2 of Rio-Niteroi bridge destriped
captured by CBERS-1 CCD.

Fig.6 Band2 of Rio-Niteroi bridge original image
image captured by SPOT 4 CCD.

Fig.7 Band2 of Causeweay bridge destriped
image captured by CBERS-1 CCD.

Fig.8 Band2 of Causeweay bridge original
image captured by SPOT 4 CCD.

<table>
<tr><td>Fig.9 Registered band2 of the Rio-Niteroi bridge.</td><td>Fig.10 Registered band2 of the Causeway bridge</td></tr>
</table>

3.4 System point spread function

The overall CBERS-1 CCD or SPOT-4 CCD on-orbit PSF is a composition of each sub-system PSF: optics, detector, electronics, etc. In this work the system point spread function is modeled as a 2D separable Gaussian function that is,

$$h(x_1, x_2) = h_{\sigma_1}(x_1) . h_{\sigma_2}(x_2)$$

where

$$h_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\,\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

In this work, the employed method for the CBERS-1 EIFOV estimation is based on the degradation of a SPOT-4 image. It assumes that the CBERS-1 and SPOT-4 image are registered and that the SPOT EIFOV of each band is known.
Let f be the original scene and let h_s be the SPOT-4 imaging system PSF. Then the original SPOT-4 image is given by:

$$g_s = f * h_s$$

Let h_f be the degradation filter PSF. Then the SPOT-4 degraded image is given by:

$$g_d = (h_f * h_s) * f$$

Finally, let h_c the CBERS-1 imaging system PSF. Then, the CBERS-1 image is given by:

$$g_c = h_c * f$$

To find the PSF of CBERS-1 imaging system, we minimize the difference between the CBERS-1 image g_c and the SPOT-4 degraded image g_d by adjusting h_f. A-t the minimum, $h_s * h_f$ is our estimation for h_c.

The figure 11 shows the block diagram of high-resolution image simulation.


Fig.11 block diagram of low-resolution image simulation

For the along-track and across-track spatial resolution estimation, a three-step approach was used. The first step consists of estimating a gain parameter for the radiometric adjust between CBERS-1 image and SPOT-4 image. The second step consists of finding an offset parameter that describes the residual registration error between both images. In the last step, the standard deviation parameter of degradation filter is estimated. This one is used to compute the EIFOV CBERS-1. In all steps the root-mean-square minimization is used. Theoretical of the proposed method are presented below.

Let g_c be one column (respectively one line) of the along-track (respectively across-track) bridge CBERS-1 image and g_s be one column (respectively one line) of the along-track (respectively across-track) bridge SPOT-4 image.

Let denote by $g_{\mu,\sigma}$ be the degraded SPOT-4 column (respectively one line) that is:

$$g_{\mu,\sigma} = h_{\mu,\sigma} * g_s$$

Step 1: Radiometric Adjust

In this step, the radiometric adjust is based on the estimation of a gain parameter a that allows to minimize the radiometry difference between the CBERS-1 column (respectively line) and the SPOT-4 column (respectively line). Hence, given an a priori value σ_0 we look for the parameter a which minimizes

$$\sum \left((a g_c + b) - g_{o,\sigma_0} \right)^2$$

where $b = m - a . m_c$ (the initial value for a in the minimization is s/s_c).

where m_c and s_c are the mean and standard deviation of g_c

where m and s are the mean and standard deviation of g_s

Step 2: Offset Adjust

a and b being the parameters found ate step 1, we look for μ which minimizes

$$\sum\left(\left(ag_c + b\right) - g_{\mu,\sigma_0}\right)^2$$

Step 3: EIFOV Estimation

In this step a, b and μ being the parameters found in previous step we look for σ which minimizes

$$\sum\left(\left(ag_c + b\right) - g_{\mu,\sigma}\right)^2$$

The along-track (respectively across-track) EIFOV estimation of CBERS-1 imaging system is given by:

$$EIFOV_c = 2.66\left(\left(\frac{EIFOV_s}{2.66}\right)^2 + \sigma^2\right)^{1/2}$$

where EIFOVs is the along-track (respectively across-track) spatial resolution of SPOT-4 imaging system.
The final estimated value of the imaging system $EIFOV_c$ is the mean value of the EIFOVc obtained at each column (respectively each line).
In three steps, the parameters have been obtained by nonlinear programming (Himmelblau, 1972).
Results of the estimated parameters are presented in Table 2 and Table 3. Table 4 and Table 5 present the EIFOV values of SPOT-4 imaging system used in this work.

CBERS-1		
Bands	σ_1	$EIFOV_1$
B2	12.74	34
B3	13.8	37
B4	20.3	54

CBERS-1		
Bands	σ_2	$EIFOV_2$
B2	24.34	65
B3	24.1	64
B4	28.75	77

Table 2: Estimated parameters in along-track direction.   Table 3: Estimated parameters in across-track direction.

SPOT-4		
Bands	σ_1	$EIFOV_1$
B2	10.56	28
B3	11.26	30
B4	11.63	31

SPOT-4		
Bands	σ_2	$EIFOV_2$
B2	10	27
B3	10.7	29
B4	12.6	34

Table 4: SPOT-4 EIFOV in along-track direction   Table 5: SPOT-4 EIFOV in along-track direction.

4. CONCLUSION

Algorithm for Spatial Resolution Estimation of CBERS-1 CCD Imaging System using is presented. Proposed algorithm is used to simulate a low resolution image from a high resolution one. In this work we have assumed that the images from CBERS-1 imaging system are low resolution while images from SPOT-4 imaging system are high resolution. Our results confirm EIFOV's values obtained in the previous work [references]. In along-track-direction the imaging system spatial resolution is in specifications for all bands except band 4. In across-track direction the imaging system spatial resolution is out of the specifications for all bands.

5. REFERENCES

Banon, G. J. F.; Santos, A. C. Digital filter design for sensor simulation: application to the Brazilian Remote Sensing Satellite. Sao Jose dos campos: INPE, 1993. 62 p. (INPE-5523-RPQ/665). Posted in the URLib digital library: <dpi.inpe.br/banon/1995/12.14.18.12>. Access in: 2003, Oct. 29.

Banon, G. J. F.; Fonseca, L. M. G. CBERS simulation from SPOT and its restoration. CP 515, 12201-970 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil: Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais - INPE, 1998. Posted in the UR*Lib* digital library: <dpi.inpe.br/banon/1998/05.18.09.47>. Access in: 2003, Oct. 29.

Bensebaa, K.; Banon, G. J. F.; Fonseca, L. M. G. On-orbit spatial resolution estimation of CBERS-1 CCD imaging system. Accepted for publication in the 4th IASTED International Conference on Visualization Imaging, and Image Processing, Marbella, Spain, 2004.

Bensebaa, K.; Banon, G. J. F.; Fonseca, L. M. G. On-orbit Spatial Resolution Estimation of CBERS-1 CCD Imaging System from bridge images. Accepted for publication in the 20th Congress International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing.

Choi, T.; Helder, D. L. Techniques for measuring the in-orbit modulation transfer function (MTF) for high spatial resolution imaging satellite. In: High Spatial Resolution Commercial Imagery Workshop, Mar. 2001, Greenbelt, USA. *Proceedings... 2001.*

Fedorov D., Fonseca L.M.G., Kenney C., Manjunath B.S., Automatic Registration and Mosaicking System for Remotely Sensed Imagery. 9th International Symposium on Remote Sensing, 22-27 September 2002, Crete, Greece.

Fonseca, L. M. G. Determinação e avaliação das funções de transferência de modulação (MTF) dos sistemas MSS e TM (Landsat-5). São Jose dos Campos: INPE, 1987. 19 p. (INPE-4187-RPE/543).

Fonseca, L. M. G.; Mascarenhas, N. D. D. Determinação da função de transferência do sensor TM do satélite Landsat-5□. In: Congresso Nacional de Matemática Aplicada e Computacional, 21-26 set. 1987, Gramado, BR. p. 297-302. (INPE-4213-PRE/1094)

Himmelblau, D. M. *Applied Nonlinear Programming.* New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1972.

Leger, D.; Viallefont-Robinet, F.; Meygret, A. In-flight refocusing and MTF assessment of SPOT5 HRG and HRS cameras, In: 9th International Symposium on Remote sensing, Aghia Pelagia (Greece), September 2002.

Luxen, M.; Forstner,. Characterizing image quality: blind estimation of the point spread function from a single image Institute for Photogrammetry, University of Bonn, Germany.

Storey, J. C. Landsat 7 on-orbit modulation transfer function estimation. In: Sensors, Systems, and Next Generation Satellites V, 17-20 Sep. 2001, Toulouse, France. *Proceedings...* Bellingham, WA, USA: SPIE, 2001. p. 50-61. (*Proceedings of SPIE, v.4540*).